



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, DC 20231

*[Handwritten signature]*

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
087629,547	04/09/96	TAKAHASHI T	ATS-032-CON/

RONALD P KANANEN  
MARKS & MURASE  
SUITE 750  
2001 L STREET NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

PM31/0324

EXAMINER
LUONG, V

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3622	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/98

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
**08/629,547**

Applicant(s)  
**Takahashi et al.**

Examiner  
**Vinh Luong**

Group Art Unit  
**3622**



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Vinh Luong

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Jeffrey Thompson (Reg. No. 37,025)

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 3/23/98

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The examiner informed the applicant that the Amendment after Final filed on February 23, 1998 (Paper No. 15), the Supplemental Amendment C filed on July 22, 1997 (Paper No. 12); the Supplemental Amendment B filed on May 20, 1997 (Paper No. 10); and the Declaration were inadvertently missing from the file of the Office. The applicant agreed to provide a duplicate copy of the missing document.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

**VINH LUONG**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**  
**ART UNIT 3622**

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.